

**To:** Kramek, Niva[kramek.niva@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy  
**From:** Lindsay McCormick  
**Sent:** Fri 5/19/2017 5:30:22 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Hi Niva – Thank you very much!

Have a wonderful weekend,

Lindsay

**From:** Kramek, Niva [mailto:kramek.niva@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, May 19, 2017 1:05 PM  
**To:** Lindsay McCormick  
**Cc:** Richard Denison  
**Subject:** RE: Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Hi Lindsay –

Thank you for the email. Yes, the letter DOL/OSHA in the docket is the reference that supports that statement.

Thank you!

Niva

Niva Kramek

Existing Chemicals Branch, Chemical Control Division

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

US Environmental Protection Agency

202-564-4830

[Kramek.niva@epa.gov](mailto:Kramek.niva@epa.gov)

**From:** Lindsay McCormick **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**  
**Sent:** Friday, May 19, 2017 9:47 AM  
**To:** Kramek, Niva <[kramek.niva@epa.gov](mailto:kramek.niva@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**  
**Subject:** RE: Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Hi Niva,

I have one more related question that I'm hoping you'll be able to help me with.

I recall from your SBA roundtable presentation on April 7<sup>th</sup> that OSHA indicated that their current PEL for DCM is inadequate to address the risks identified by EPA from DCM. I'm wondering if there is an available reference in the docket or elsewhere that confirms this. Is the OSHA letter sent to EPA on March 2016 what you were referring to?  
<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0231-0153>

Thank you very much for your help.

Kind regards,

Lindsay

**From:** Lindsay McCormick  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 2:25 PM  
**To:** 'Kramek, Niva'

**Cc:** Richard Denison

**Subject:** RE: Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Hi Niva,

Thank you very much for your quick response! This is very helpful.

Best,

Lindsay

**From:** Kramek, Niva [<mailto:kramek.niva@epa.gov>]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 2:21 PM

**To:** Lindsay McCormick

**Cc:** Richard Denison

**Subject:** RE: Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Dear Lindsay –

Thank you for the email. I'm sorry to hear that webpage is no longer available.

The peer review materials are available in the risk assessment dockets. NMP and Methylene chloride used the same docket: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2012-0725. There was a series of three peer review meetings (which covered both chemicals' risk assessments), in September, November, and December 2013. The meeting notice is here: <https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2012-0725-0045> . Additional peer review materials, including the external review drafts, are in that docket.

The TCE peer review materials are in that chemical's risk assessment docket: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2012-0723. There was a series of three peer review meetings in July (2 meetings) and August 2013. The meeting notice is here: <https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2012-0723-0045>

2012-0723-0025

Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks!

Niva

Niva Kramek

Existing Chemicals Branch, Chemical Control Division

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

US Environmental Protection Agency

202-564-4830

Kramek.niva@epa.gov

**From:** Lindsay McCormick Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 11:44 AM

**To:** Kramek, Niva <kramek.niva@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

**Subject:** FW: Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Dear Ms. Kramek,

I am trying to track down when the three peer review meetings took place for DCM and NMP. The links on EPA's work plan webpage to the Scientific Consulting Group (SCG) peer review site is dead: <http://www.scgcorp.com/dcm-nmp2013/>. I reached out to SCG and they indicated that the information is no longer available on their website (see below). The same also seems to

be true for the TCE peer review site.

Could you let me know when these meeting took place?

Best regards,

Lindsay



**Lindsay McCormick**  
Project Manager, Chemicals and Health

Health Program

**Environmental Defense Fund**  
1875 Connecticut Ave, NW  
Washington DC 20009

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

[edf.org](http://edf.org)

**From:** Stacy Philipson [ **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** ]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 11:33 AM  
**To:** Lindsay McCormick  
**Cc:** Richard Denison  
**Subject:** RE: Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Good Morning Lindsay,

Thank you for your emails. These links were only active during the assessments and then disabled so the information is no longer on our site.

Sincerely,

Stacy



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Stacy E. Philipson Grenis

Vice President of Administration

The Scientific Consulting Group, Inc.

656 Quince Orchard Road, Suite 210

Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878-1409

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Website: [www.scgcorp.com](http://www.scgcorp.com)

**From:** Lindsay McCormick **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 11:12 AM

**To:** Stacy Philipson **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**Cc:** Richard Denison

**Subject:** Peer Review information on two EPA chemical risk assessments

Dear Ms. Grenis,

The US EPA website on Work Plan Chemical Assessments references SCG for information on the peer reviews for the chemical risk assessments for DCM and NMP:

<https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/assessments-tsca-work-plan-chemicals>

However, the hyperlink to SCG provided is dead:

<http://www.scgcorp.com/dcm-nmp2013/>

Is this information still available somewhere on your website?

Thank you,

Lindsay



**Lindsay McCormick**  
Project Manager, Chemicals and Health

Health Program

**Environmental Defense Fund**  
1875 Connecticut Ave, NW  
Washington DC 20009

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.